

1 John L. Burris (SBN 69888)
2 Benjamin Nisenbaum (SBN 222173)
3 James Cook (SBN 300212)
4 **LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS**
5 Airport Corporate Centre
6 7677 Oakport Street, Suite 1120
7 Oakland, California 94621
8 T: (510) 839-5200
9 F: (510) 839-3882
10 John.Burris@johnburrislaw.com
11 James.Cook@johnburrislaw.com

12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs,
13 ZAKIYAH GUILLORY, CHERIE BRANCH
14 GUILLORY, T.G. and M.W. by and through their
15 Guardian ad Litem, CHERIE BRANCH GUILLORY

16 **RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP**
17 11341 Gold Express Drive, Ste. 160
18 Gold River, California 95670
19 T: (916) 922-1200
20 F: (916) 922-1303
21 Shanan L. Hewitt (SBN 200168)
22 SHewitt@rhplawyers.com
23 Christopher L. Janof (SBN 335242)
24 CJanof@rhplawyers.com

25 Attorneys for Defendant,
26 COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO
27
28

ZAKIYAH GUILLORY, CHERIE BRANCH
GUILLORY, T.G. and M.W. by and through
their Guardian ad Litem, CHERIE BRANCH
GUILLORY,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CITY OF SACRAMENTO, a municipal
corporation; COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, a
municipal corporation; IRA PRAHL,
individually and in his official capacity as an
Officer for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO;
DARBY LANNOM, individually and in his
official capacity as an Officer for the CITY OF
SACRAMENTO; LIAM KELLY, individually
and in his official capacity as an Officer for the
CITY OF SACRAMENTO; ALLISON
SMITH, individually and in her official
capacity as an Officer for the CITY OF
SACRAMENTO; RAVEN POWERS,
individually and in her official capacity as an
Officer for the CITY OF SACRAMENTO,

Defendants.

Plaintiffs, by and through their counsel, John L. Burris, Esq., Benjamin Nisenbaum, Esq., and James Cook, Esq., of the LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS, and Defendant County of Sacramento, by and through counsel, Shanan L. Hewitt, Esq. and Christopher L. Janof, Esq., of RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP, hereby stipulate pursuant to Local Rule 144(a) to an extension of time in order to permit Defendants to file a responsive pleading to Plaintiff's Complaint for Damages (Doc. 1), which was filed on May 5, 2021, in accordance with the pleading requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The parties stipulate and have agreed to extend the responsive pleading deadline as follows:

(1) Defendants, including the COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, were served with this lawsuit on or about May 7, 2021.

(2) At present, Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO believes it had no involvement in the incident at issue in this case. Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO is continuing to investigate to confirm that no SACRAMENTO COUNTY Sheriff's Department personnel were involved in the incident at issue and are currently in the process of seeking video of the incident which should assist the Defendant to confirm that no Sheriff's Department personnel were involved.

(3) This is the second request for extension of time for Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO to respond to the Complaint (Doc. 1).

(4) Under these circumstances, the parties have agreed and hereby stipulate to extend the time for Defendant COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO to respond to the Complaint (Doc. 1) up to and including July 12, 2021.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: June 22, 2021

LAW OFFICES OF JOHN L. BURRIS

/s/ James Cook
JOHN L. BURRIS
BENJAMIN NISENBAUM
JAMES COOK
Attorneys for Plaintiffs


DATED: June 21, 2021

RIVERA HEWITT PAUL LLP

/s/ Shanan L. Hewitt
SHANAN L. HEWITT
CHRISTOPHER L. JANOF
Attorneys for Defendant
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 0
- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8

IT IS SO ORDERED.


MORRISON C. ENGLAND, JR.
SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE